

Appendix 2	List of modifications proposed to be made to the Examination Draft Version of the Bradwell Neighbourhood Plan	 PEAK DISTRICT NATIONAL PARK
-------------------	--	--

In the table below, modifications to policies or small modifications to supporting text are expressed by showing deleted text with ~~strike-through~~, new text as underlined and specifying modifications using words in *italics*, as well as by reference to page and paragraph numbers in the draft plan and the examiner's report. Large modifications (eg deletion of appendices or pages of background information) are shown only by the specified modifications in *italics* and reference to page and paragraph numbers of the draft plan and examiner's report.

Where recommendations have been made to delete or amalgamate policies, should these recommendations be agreed, the final version of the Neighbourhood Plan will show remaining policies numbered consecutively.

Changes will be made to the contents page & referencing as necessary.

Modification number & page reference in examiner's report	Page reference in draft plan	Proposed Modification	Reason
M1 Page 18 Para. 59-60	Page 25 Transport Para 9.18	<i>In paragraph 9.18 of the Neighbourhood Plan replace "policy" with "aspiration. This community aspiration has been identified through the plan preparation process but is not a policy of the Neighbourhood Plan," and remove the background shading of the community aspiration statement.</i>	Not a policy of the neighbourhood plan.
M2 Page 25 Para 86-87	Page 15 Landscape and Ecosystems Para 4.19 'E1'	<i>In Policy E1 replace the first sentence with "Development proposals that set out clear measures to enhance biodiversity, and where relevant, enhance landscape quality, will be supported."</i>	Subject to the recommended modification this policy meets the Basic Conditions
M3 Page 27 Para 94-95	Page 17 Climate Change Para 5.8-5.9	<i>In Policy C1</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>replace "future developments must" and the first three bullet points with "development proposals must demonstrate consideration of the following principles:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>- inclusion of measures for microgeneration of energy and grey water recycling where they would not cause harm to the significance of heritage assets or harm to visual or landscape</i> 	The requirements for EV charging facilities should not hinder viability of projects in accordance with paragraph 34 of the Framework. The requirement of the first bullet point to only apply to extensions, and only of a specific

Modification number & page reference in examiner's report	Page reference in draft plan	Proposed Modification	Reason
		<p><i>character;</i></p> <p><i>- use of local stone as an external building facing material wherever possible;</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>after “viable” insert “and”</i> • <i>delete “in the village”</i> • <i>delete the bullet point indicators before “Subject” and “If”</i> 	<p>percentage increase, has not been justified. The requirements for measures for microgeneration of energy, and grey water recycling have not been justified</p> <p>The terms “in the village” and “locally sourced” are imprecise and have not been adequately justified.</p> <p>To be “clearly written and unambiguous”.</p>
M4 Page 32-33 Para 106-107	Pages 17-20 Development Boundary/ Settlement Boundary Para	<p><i>On Map 3 of the Neighbourhood Plan include in the Settlement Replace Policy DB1 with “New build development for affordable housing, community facilities and small-scale retail and business premises should where possible be located inside the Settlement Boundary for Hartington village identified on Map 3 of the Neighbourhood Plan. Development of these types will be supported inside the Settlement Boundary where it will be consistent with the other policies of the Neighbourhood Plan and the policies of the Core Strategy (or replacement policies), and will avoid inappropriate development in areas at risk of flooding and not increase flood risk elsewhere. Replace Policy DB2 with “Outside the Settlement Boundary for Hartington village identified on Map 3 of the Neighbourhood Plan new build development will only be supported where it is in accordance with the Policies of the Core Strategy (or replacement policies).”</i></p>	<p>So that the policies have sufficient regard for national policy and are “clearly written and unambiguous, so it is evident how a decision maker should react to development proposals” as required by paragraph 16d) of the Framework.</p> <p>Without these modifications Policies DB1 and DB2 do not meet the basic conditions and unless those policies were deleted, and could not be recommended the</p>

Modification number & page reference in examiner's report	Page reference in draft plan	Proposed Modification	Reason
		<p><i>Replace all references to "Development Boundary" throughout the Neighbourhood Plan, including the title of Map 3 of the Neighbourhood Plan, with "Settlement Boundary"</i></p> <p><i>Retitle Policy DB1 as Policy SB1 and retitle Policy DB2 as Policy SB2. Boundary the road between the two areas forming the Settlement Boundary.</i></p>	Neighbourhood Plan should proceed to referendum.
M5 Page 33 Para 111-112	Page 22 Housing Para 7.17 – 7.18	<p><i>In Policy H1</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>replace "wider" with "wide"</i> <i>replace "will be encouraged" with "to meet identified local needs will be supported"</i> 	For clarity
M6 Page 34 Para 117 - 118	Page 22 Housing Para 7.17 – 7.18	<i>In Policy H2 delete "quality,"</i>	For clarity
M7 Page 36 Para 128-129	Page 24 Economic Development Para 8.12	<i>Continue Policy ED1 with "unless it is demonstrated the facilities are no longer required; or that the facilities are no longer viable; or that the proposals will result in alternative public toilet facilities of equivalent quality in a location no less convenient to users"</i>	For sufficient regard to national policy
M8 Page 37 Para 132 - 133	Page 37 Economic development Para 8.12	<i>In Policy ED2 delete "in the Development Boundary"</i>	To comply with changes to SB1
M9 Page 37 Para 137-138	Page 24 Economic Development Para 8.12	<p><i>In Policy ED3 replace the first sentence with "The development of any new sites for touring camping and caravanning, yurts, shepherds' huts, and pods will only be supported where the scale, location, access, landscape setting and impact upon neighbouring uses are acceptable."</i></p> <p><i>Include in the justification for the policy a statement referring to the existing provision for touring camping and caravanning, yurts, shepherds' huts, and pods in the wider Dove and Manifold valleys.</i></p>	<p>To be clearly written and unambiguous</p> <p>For further justification</p>
M10 Page 38 Para 143 - 44	Page 24 Economic Development Para 8.12	<i>Replace Policy ED4 with "New or additional shopping or catering facilities will only be supported on existing camping and/or caravan sites where</i>	For clarity and precision

Modification number & page reference in examiner's report	Page reference in draft plan	Proposed Modification	Reason
		<p><i>they are of a scale appropriate to serve people staying overnight at the site."</i></p> <p><i>Include in the justification for the policy a statement that the policy seeks to assist the viability of existing shops and catering services in Hartington village.</i></p>	
M11 Page 39 Para 148 - 149	Page 27 Transport Para 9.8 – 9.9	<i>In Policy T1 replace "require" with "include"</i>	For clarity
M12 Page 40 Para 155	Page 28 Transport Para 9.8 - 9.9	<i>In Policy T3 replace "not be supported" with "only be supported if the proposals include an equivalent number of replacement parking spaces in no less convenient location for users"</i>	So that the policy has sufficient regard for national policy and is "clearly written and unambiguous"
M13 Page 41 Para 159	Page 28 Transport Para 9.8 - 9.9	<i>In Policy T4 replace the second sentence with "Development proposals that would result in the loss of car parking space in a domestic garage will only be supported if the proposals include the creation of an equivalent number of on-site replacement car parking spaces."</i>	So that the policy has sufficient regard for national policy and is "clearly written and unambiguous"
M14 Page 45 Para 174-175	Page 31 Community, Health, Social and Cultural Well Being Para 10.11	<i>In Policy S1 replace "is not supported" with "will be managed in accordance with national Green Belt policy"</i>	Paragraph 107 of the Framework states "Policies for managing development within a Local Green Space should be consistent with those for Green Belts." The part of the Framework that relates to 'Protecting Green Belt land,' including paragraphs 152 to 156, sets out statements regarding the types of development that are not

Modification number & page reference in examiner's report	Page reference in draft plan	Proposed Modification	Reason
			inappropriate in Green Belt areas.
M15 Page 49 Conclusion	Page 3 (NEW)	<i>Include Policy Index</i>	For clarity